

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

JERRY HOANG, et al.,

CASE NO. C17-0874JLR

Plaintiffs,

ORDER GRANTING
EXTENSION OF TIME AND
RENOTING MOTION TO
DISMISS

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., et al.,

Defendants.

Before the court is Plaintiffs Jerry Hoang and Le Uyen Thi Hoang's (collectively, "Hoangs") motion for an extension of time (MFE (Dkt. # 9)) to respond to Defendants Bank of America, N.A., and Federal National Mortgage Association's ("Defendants") motion to dismiss (MTD (Dkt. # 4)). The Hoangs request an extension to July 31, 2017, to respond to the motion to dismiss. (MFE at 1-2.) Defendants do not object to the Hoangs' motion. (MFE Resp. (Dkt. # 10) at 1-2.) Pursuant to the Local Civil Rules, however, Defendants propose that the court simply

1 re-note the motion to dismiss for August 4, 2017, making the Hoangs' response due on
2 July 31, 2017. (*Id.* (citing Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(d)(3))).

3 The court finds that the Hoangs have demonstrated good cause for an extension.
4 (See Hoang Decl. (Dkt. # 9-1) ¶¶ 4-9 (explaining that Mr. Hoang's father died suddenly
5 on June 22, 2017; Mr. Hoang's religion mandates a 30-day mourning period after the
6 death of a close family member; and during that mourning period, Mr. Hoang cannot
7 meaningfully assist in his case).) The court GRANTS the Hoangs' motion for an
8 extension of time (Dkt. # 9), and DIRECTS the Clerk to re-note Defendants' motion to
9 dismiss (Dkt. # 4) for August 4, 2017. The Hoangs' response is now due on July 31,
10 2017.¹ See Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(d)(3).

11 Dated this 7th day of July, 2017.

12
13 
14

15 JAMES L. ROBART
16 United States District Judge
17
18

19 ¹ The Hoangs appear to have violated Local Civil Rule 7 by failing to confer with
20 Defendants in an effort to obtain a stipulated extension. Cf. Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(j)
21 ("A motion for relief from a deadline should, whenever possible, be filed sufficiently in advance
22 of the deadline to allow the court to rule on the motion prior to the deadline. . . If a true,
unforeseen emergency exists that prevents a party from meeting a deadline, and the emergency
arose too late to file a motion for relief from the deadline, the party should contact the adverse
party, meet and confer regarding an extension, and file a stipulation and proposed order with the
court."); (see also MFE Resp. at 1-2 (indicating Defendants' non-opposition to the extension)).
The court DIRECTS counsel for the Hoangs to carefully review Local Civil Rule 7 before
submitting any further filings to the court.